LAC vs. Prabhu Dayal

RFA No. 461 of 1991

20.06.2023 Present:

Mr. Prashant Sen, Deputy Advocate General, for non-applicants/appellants No.1 and 2.

None for appellant No.3.

Ms. Rinki Kashmiri, Advocate vice Mr. Janesh Gupta, Advocate for applicants/respondents.

CMP No. 7376 of 2023

This application has been filed on behalf of respondents No.4(a) to 4(d)/applicants for release of amount of compensation falling in the share of deceased Shaktia, in equal shares, to respondents No.4(a) to 4(d)/applicants with further submissions that respondent No.4 (e), earlier impleaded, was ordered to be deleted vide order dated 27.04.1994 passed in CMP No. 176 of 1994.

It has been submitted on behalf of applicants that vide order dated 21.09.1993, 50% of the amount of compensation was directed to be released without surety and remaining 50% with surety, but as claimants did not come forward to receive refund voucher, therefore, no amount was released in compliance of said order and amount was reinvested by Registry as per Rules.

It has further been submitted by learned counsel for applicants that vide order dated 24.11.2021, amount falling in

share of respondent No.6 Sevati Devi has already been released in

favour of her legal heirs and vide order dated 05.12.2022 amount

falling in share of respondent No.5 Naratoo has also been released

in favour of his legal heirs.

In view of above, present application is also allowed

and amount falling in share of deceased respondent No.4 Shaktia

along with up-to-date interest, in terms of final judgment, is

ordered to be released in favour of applicants namely Jeet Ram,

Attria @ Attar Singh, Shibu and Sher Singh in equal shares

respectively, as per their entitlement as mentioned in para 5 of

application, by remitting the same in their bank accounts,

mentioned in para 6 of the application.

Application stands disposed of.

June 20, 2023 (ms)

(Vivek Singh Thakur) Judge